

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-28479-2023

Date of decision: 18.12.2023

Jaspal Kaur

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL**

**Present: Mr. Mohit Jaggi, Advocate,
for the petitioner.**

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

The petitioner herein has prayed for the following substantive relief:-

“Civil Writ Petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, with a prayer that this Hon’ble Court may be pleased to issue any writ, order or direction especially a writ in the nature of MANDAMUS directing the respondents especially respondent No.2 to allot alternative plot to the petitioner as the construction over the plot in question i.e. plot No.501, Sector 71, SAS Nagar, Mohali is not feasible.”

Served with the advance copy of the petition, Mr. V.G. Jauhar, learned Additional Advocate General, Punjab, is present in Court. At the outset, he submits that let this petition be disposed of, at this stage, to enable the authorities to re-examine the matter in issue, and pass appropriate orders, in accordance with law. He further submits that before any such orders are passed, the petitioner shall also be afforded a hearing.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is in agreement with the course suggested by the learned State counsel, and submits that let this petition be disposed of, in terms of the statement made by him. However, it is urged that the authorities be directed to do the needful within a specified time.

In response, learned State counsel submits that the appropriate orders shall be passed within a period of four weeks from today.

The petition is accordingly disposed of, in terms of the statements made by learned counsel for the parties.

This Court is sanguine that the authorities shall look into the matter in the right earnest. And the appropriate orders shall be passed within the time indicated by the learned State counsel.

Needless to assert that this order shall not constitute any expression of opinion on the merits of the case of either party, for, as indicated above, the competent authority shall examine the concerns/grievances of the petitioner, strictly in accordance with law.

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

18.12.2023
Ak Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No