

125

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-28463-2023

Date of decision: 18.12.2023

Lakhwinder Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Central Board of Secondary Education and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Sandeep Verma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Naveen Chopra, Advocate for CBSE.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This is a Civil Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to correct the name of the petitioner on the CBSE Online Portal which reflects his name as “Lakhwinder Singh Choudhary” instead of “Lakhwinder Singh”, although, the said mistake has been corrected on the detailed marksheet in the year 2010 but the record of the same has not been updated online.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that for the grievances raised by the petitioner in the present writ petition, the petitioner had given representation dated 04.10.2023 (Annexure P-12) and he would be satisfied at this stage, in case, the competent authority of respondent No.1-CBSE is directed to consider the said representation dated 04.10.2023

(Annexure P-12) in a time bound manner and in case, the pleas raised by the petitioner are found to be meritorious then grant necessary relief in accordance with law.

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of CBSE has submitted that the competent authority of respondent No.1-Board would consider the said representation dated 04.10.2023 (Annexure P-12) in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order.

4. Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances, the present Civil Writ Petition is disposed of with direction to the competent authority of respondent No.1-Board to consider representation dated 04.10.2023 (Annexure P-12) in accordance with law within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order and in case, the pleas raised by the petitioner are found to be meritorious then the competent authority would grant necessary relief, in accordance with law and in case, the competent authority is of the opinion that the pleas raised by the petitioner are not meritorious then a speaking order rejecting the same be passed within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order.

5. This Court has not opined on the merits of the case and the competent authority of respondent No.1-Board would consider the case of the petitioner independently, in accordance with law.

18.12.2023*Pawan***(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE****Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No****Whether reportable:- Yes/No**