

123

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-28411-2023

Date of decision: 18.12.2023

Gurpal Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Naveen Kumar, Advocate for
Mr. P.K.S. Phoolka, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ferry Sofat, Addl. A.G. Punjab.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This is a Civil Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to grant route permit Bangi Rughu-Malwala, Bhagwargarh, Shergarh Mehta, Gurusar Sehnewala Chowk, Loodhpur Romana, AIIMS, Gyani Zail Singh College, Amarpura Basti, Rajindra College Bank Side-Bathinda applied vide application No.202209191118151 of small bus.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that for the grievances raised by the petitioner in the present writ petition, the petitioner had given representation dated 26.09.2023 (Annexure P-3) to respondent No.2 and he would be satisfied at this stage, in case, the competent authority of respondent No.1-State is directed to consider the said representation dated 26.09.2023 (Annexure P-3) in a time bound manner and in case, the

pleas raised by the petitioner are found to be meritorious then grant necessary relief in accordance with law.

3. Learned State Counsel has submitted that the competent authority of respondent No.1-State would consider the said representation dated 26.09.2023 (Annexure P-3) in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order.

4. Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances, the present Civil Writ Petition is disposed of with direction to the competent authority of the respondent No.1-State to consider representation dated 26.09.2023 (Annexure P-3) in accordance with law within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order and in case, the pleas raised by the petitioner are found to be meritorious then the competent authority would grant necessary relief, in accordance with law and in case, the competent authority is of the opinion that the pleas raised by the petitioner are not meritorious then a speaking order rejecting the same be passed within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order.

5. This Court has not opined on the merits of the case and the competent authority of respondent No.1-State would consider the case of the petitioner independently, in accordance with law.

18.12.2023*Pawan***Whether speaking/reasoned:-
Whether reportable:-****(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE****Yes/No
Yes/No**