

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(111)

CWP No. 28387 of 2023

Date of Decision : 18.12.2023

Sahab Ram and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Ravinder Singh Dhull, Advocate for the petitioners.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners argues that in the present petition, the grievance of the petitioners is that though they have higher qualification than the qualification prescribed in the Advertisement for the post of Planning Assistant but they are not being considered as eligible, which is totally arbitrary and illegal. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the candidates having higher qualification have already been held eligible by a Full Bench of this Court while deciding CWP No. 451 of 2008 titled as ***Manjit Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others***, in February, 2010 and other connected matters, hence, respondents are under obligation to allow the petitioners to compete for the post in question by treating them eligible.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have already raised the said grievance in the representation

dated 30.11.2023 (Annexure P-9) but the same is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioners will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Pankaj Middha, learned Additional Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that in case, the representation dated 30.11.2023 (Annexure P-9) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of four weeks of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

6. Ordered accordingly.

December 18th, 2023
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No