

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-12097-2023

Date of Decision: December 18, 2023

HARPREET SHARMA

.....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Mr. Rajat Dogra, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Shubham Kaushik, A.A.G., Punjab.

HARKESH MANUJA, J. (ORAL)

1. By way of present petition under Article 226 of Constitution of India, a prayer has been made for issuance of direction to respondent No.2 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner at the instance of private respondents with a further prayer of directing respondent No.2 to decide the representation (Annexure P-1).

2. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that instead of pressing the present petition on merits, his client would be satisfied in case direction is issued to the respondent No.2 to decide the representation (Annexure P-1) moved at the instance of petitioner by passing a speaking order expeditiously.

3. Prayer seems to be justified.

4. Upon advance notice, Mr. Shubham Kaushik, A.A.G., Punjab appears on behalf of respondents No.1 to 4 and raises no objection to the innocuous prayer made on behalf of the petitioner.

5. In view of the agreed stand taken by both sides, but without going into the merits of the controversy, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, District Malerkotla to look into the representation (Annexure P-1) and take appropriate action in accordance with law by passing a speaking order preferably within a period of 04 (four) weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

6. The aforesaid direction shall not be treated as expression of opinion on the merits of the averments made in the representation.

18.12.2023
Tejwinder

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>