

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

125

CRM-M-63093-2023
Date of decision: 15.12.2023

PANKAJ DAYAL

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Mr.Balkar Singh , Advocate
for the petitioner.

KULDEEP TIWARI. J.(Oral)

1. The instant petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of order dated 17.03.2020 (Annexure P-1), passed by learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Gurugram, whereby the petitioner has been declared as proclaimed person in complaint case bearing No.NACT/18331/2017 dated 17.11.2017 titled as Sunil Bedi Vs. Pankaj Dayal and for quashing of all the consequential proceedings arising therefrom.

2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that subsequent to registration of the FIR in question, the parties have ironed out their differences and arrived at an amicable settlement, as a result of which the complaint under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881, stood withdrawn by the complainant. In support, learned counsel has drawn the attention of this Court to the order dated 17.03.2020 (Annexure P-

1), passed by learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Gurugram. He submits that in the present circumstances, continuation of proceedings arising therefrom would not serve any useful purpose, and thus, the same be quashed.

3. I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and have perused the relevant material on record.

4. In the light of submissions made by learned counsel representing the petitioner, together with the fact that since the original complaint already stands withdrawn, this Court deems it appropriate to quash the criminal proceedings in the instant case. Accordingly, the petition is allowed, and the order dated 17.03.2020 (Annexure P-1), and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed.

15.12.2023
amandeep

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned.	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable.	:	Yes/No