

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(116)

CWP-28255-2023

Date of decision: - 15.12.2023

Vikram Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

The Central Board of Secondary Education and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. Abhinav Aggarwal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Beant Singh Seemar, Advocate,
for respondents No.1 and 2.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. Present writ petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ, order or direction in the nature of certiorari for quashing the emails dated 26.10.2023 and 16.11.2023 (Annexures P-7 and P-9), with a further prayer for directing respondents No.1 and 2 to allow the petitioner's daughter to take exam of Class XII for the session of 2023-2024 and to change the petitioner's daughter school from that of respondent No.3 to respondent No.4.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that daughter of the petitioner is registered with CBSE and has referred to

Annexure P-1 for the same and has further submitted that the daughter of the petitioner had changed her school from that of respondent No.3 to respondent No.4 and is presently studying in GD Goenka Public School, Sector 10-A, Gurugram, Haryana, which is also affiliated with the CBSE. It is further submitted that for the grievance of the petitioner, a detailed representation dated 28.11.2023 (Annexure P-10) has been given to respondent No.2-Board and the petitioner would be satisfied in case the competent authority of respondent No.2-Board considers the same in accordance with law, within a specified time frame and in case the pleas raised by the petitioner are found to be meritorious, then, grant the appropriate relief to the daughter of the petitioner.

3. Learned counsel appearing for respondents No.1 and 2 has submitted that the competent authority of respondent No.2-Board would consider the said representation dated 28.11.2023 (Annexure P-10), filed by the petitioner, as expeditiously as possible, in accordance with law.

4. Keeping in view the above-said facts and circumstances, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority of respondent No.2-Board to consider the representation dated 28.11.2023 (Annexure P-10), filed by the petitioner, up till 07.01.2024 and in case the competent authority of respondent No.2-Board is of the view that the pleas raised by the petitioner are meritorious, then, the appropriate relief be granted to the daughter of the petitioner as expeditiously as possible. In case the competent authority of respondent No.2-Board is of the view that the pleas raised by the petitioner are meritless, then, a speaking order rejecting the claim be passed by the said date i.e., 07.01.2024.

5. It is made clear that this Court has not opined on the merits of the case and the competent authority of respondent No.2-Board would consider and decide the matter independently, in accordance with law.

December 15, 2023
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No