

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-28224-2023

Date of decision : 15.12.2023

Mohinder Pal Singh and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr.Rajinder Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr.Ferry Sofat, Addl.A.G. Punjab.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. This is a civil writ petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondent no.2 to decide the legal notice dated 28.11.2023 (Annexure P-6) in which the petitioners have made request for approving the joint time table for the operation of petitioners buses on Talwandi to Batala via Bhole Ke and Fatehgarh Churian to Sunaya via Harchandpura, Talwandi routes.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that for the grievances raised by the petitioners, the petitioners have given a legal notice dated 28.11.2023 (Annexure P-6) and at this stage, would be satisfied in case the competent authority of respondent no.1 considers the said legal notice in a time bound manner and if after considering the same, in case, the plea raised by the petitioners is found to be meritorious, then necessary relief be granted to the petitioners.

3. Learned State counsel has submitted that the competent authority of respondent no.1 would consider the legal notice and decide the same within a period of four weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of the present order.
4. Keeping in view the above said facts and circumstances, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority of respondent no.1 to consider the legal notice dated 28.11.2023 of the petitioners and decide the same, in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of four weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of the present order and in case, after considering the same, the plea raised by the petitioners is found to be meritorious, then necessary relief be granted to the petitioners and in case, the plea raised by the petitioners is not found to be meritorious, then a speaking order rejecting the same be passed within a period of four weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of the present order.
5. It is made clear that this Court has not opined on the merits of the case and the competent authority of respondent no.1 would consider and decide the legal notice independently, in accordance with law.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

December 15, 2023.
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No