

258 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-63468-2023**

**Decided on:-21.12.2023**

Sandeep

....Petitioner..

vs.

State of Haryana

....Respondent.

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA**

Present: Mr. Parminder Singh, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Krishan K. Chahal, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

\*\*\*\*\*

**HARKESH MANUJA J. (Oral)**

1. By way of present petition filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, prayer has been made for grant of regular bail pending trial, in case bearing FIR No.282, dated 18.11.2023, registered under Sections 341 and 379-A IPC, at Police Station Munak, District Karnal.
2. In the present case, the petitioner has been implicated on account of having abused the complainant besides having snatched his mobile phone.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that now a settlement has been arrived at between the parties, which has been reduced into writing on 10.12.2023 and in pursuance thereof, petition bearing No.CRM-M-63247-2023 for quashing of FIR in question has already been filed, in which, notice has already been issued by this Court vide order dated 15.12.2023. He further submits that in order to show his bonafides, the petitioner is ready to deposit a sum of Rs.20,000/- with the trial court
4. On the other hand, prayer made herein has been opposed at the

instance of learned State counsel while referring to the past antecedents of the petitioner, who is involved in three other cases under the provisions of IPC, thus, he prays for dismissal of the present petition.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and gone through the paper book. I find substance in the submissions made on behalf of the petitioner.

6. In the present case, petitioner is behind bars for the last more than 01 month now beside it, the parties have already entered into a compromise on 10.12.2023, meaning thereby, the complainant is not going to support the prosecution, thus, no useful purpose is going to be served by extending the incarceration of the petitioner any further.

7. Without commenting anything on the merits of the case, lest it may prejudice the trial, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing adequate bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the concerned Trial Court/Duty Magistrate. The aforesaid amount of Rs.20,000/- as volunteered by learned counsel for the petitioner shall be deposited by the petitioner at the time of submissions of his bail bonds before the Trial court/Duty Magistrate, which shall be released to complainant upon verification.

8. It is made clear that this order may not be construed as expression of an opinion on the merits of the case.

21.12.2023  
sonika

(HARKESH MANUJA)  
JUDGE

|                            |         |
|----------------------------|---------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned: | Yes/No  |
| Whether reportable:        | Yes/ No |