

**117 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

\* \* \* \*

5. It is clarified that if the petitioners visit any disputed place and the security officer become aware of it, they should advise the petitioners to avoid going there. If the petitioners still insist on going, the officer has the right to return to the police station due to petitioners' defiance of the order.

6. It is clarified that there is no adjudication on merits and that this order is not a blanket bail in any FIR. It is further clarified that this order shall not come in the way if the interrogation of the petitioners are required in any cognizable case. It shall also be open for the petitioners to approach this Court again in case of any fresh threat perception.

7. **This order shall eclipse after fifteen days from today.**

8. Given the prayer for issuance of directions to decide the representation dated 04.11.2023 (Annexure P-3), the same be decided by the concerned Senior Superintendent of Police, within two months, either himself/herself or by authorizing and delegating it to any officer holding IPS cadre. It is clarified that such order must be a reasoned order, and the same be communicated to the representationists without delay.

9. *There would be no need for a certified copy of this order, and any Advocate for the Petitioners and State can download this order and other relevant particulars from the official web page of this court and attest it to be a true copy. The concerned officer can also verify its authenticity and may download and use the downloaded copy for immediate use.*

**Petition is allowed to the extent mentioned above.** All pending applications, if any, stand disposed.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)  
JUDGE

18.12.2023  
anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes  
Whether reportable: No.