

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-28209-2023

Date of decision : 15.12.2023

Chahak (minor) through her father Ranjeev Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

Central Board of Secondary Education (CBSE), Panchkula and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr.Sanjeev Kodan, Advocate for the petitioner.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. This is a civil writ petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to consider the case of the petitioner and make necessary corrections in the father's name of the petitioner from Ranjeev to Ranjeev Kumar.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the entire issue with respect to changes/corrections to be made in the certificate issued by the Central Board of Secondary Education has been adjudicated upon by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in a detailed judgment passed in case titled as ***Jigya Yadav (minor) (through Guardian/father Hari Singh) Vs. CBSE (Central Board of Secondary Education) and others*** and other connected matters reported as ***2021(7) SCC 535*** by a three Judge Bench of the Hon'ble Supreme Court and has prayed that the petitioner be permitted to file representation keeping in view the parameters laid down in the abovesaid judgment and prays that respondent no.1-Board be directed to consider the

said representation and pass a speaking order within a period of four weeks from the date the said representation is received by respondent no.1-Board.

3. Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances, the present petition is disposed of in the following terms:-

- i) The petitioner is granted liberty to file a detailed representation and annex all the documents in light of the judgment passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Jigyada Yadav (minor)'s* case (Supra). Learned counsel for the petitioner has stated that the petitioner would submit the said representation along with certified copies of the relevant record and would get the said representation forwarded through the school.
- ii) Respondent no.1-Board is directed to decide the said representation as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of the said representation, in accordance with law.

4. Needless to mention here that it would be open to respondent no.1-Board to require the petitioner or the school to submit any document which would be required for finally considering the case of the petitioner.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

December 15, 2023.
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No