

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

138

CRWP-12085-2023

Date of Decision: 15.12.2023

Krishan Kumar and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI

Present:- Mr. Pardeep Sihmar, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

VIKAS SURI, J. (Oral)

1. Through this petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, the petitioners namely Krishan Kumar and Meena have approached this Court seeking protection of life and personal liberty at the hands of private respondent Nos.6 to 8.

2. The contention of the petitioners is that both are major, aged 30 and 26 years, respectively. In support thereof, copy of Aadhaar cards pertaining to the petitioners have been appended as Annexures P-1 and P-2. It is pleaded that the marriage of petitioner No.2 was solemnized on 22.11.2023 with one Darshan son of Satbir, who is arrayed in this petition as respondent No.6 but she wants to marry petitioner No.1. It is alleged that marriage of petitioner No.2 was solemnized with respondent No.6 under

pressure of her parents. It is submitted that the petitioners are living together in relationship. The petitioners apprehend threat to their lives and claim that there is a constant danger of being implicated in a false case.

3. Notice of motion restricted to respondents No.1 to 5 only.

4. Mr. Gurbir S. Dhillon, A.A.G., Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent Nos.1 to 5 and waives service.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioners states that representation dated 04.12.2023 (Annexure P-3) has also been submitted to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Jind and respondent No.3-Superintendent of Police, District Kaithal, but to no avail.

6. Learned State counsel has no objection if appropriate direction for providing requisite protection to the petitioners is issued.

7. Accordingly, without commenting on the merits of the case and considering the rights of an adult to elect a place of his/her choice to stay, the instant petition is disposed of with the directions to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Jind to look into the grievances of the petitioners as set out in the petition and on assessing the threat perception, take appropriate action, as may be warranted by the circumstances. This order shall not be taken to validate the alleged relationship.

8. However, it is clarified that in case any criminal case has been/is registered against the petitioners nothing in this order shall be construed as a bar for taking appropriate action by the police authorities in respect thereof in accordance with law.

9. Disposed of.

December 15, 2023
harish

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No