

105

2023:PHHC: 161002
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-28207-2023
Date of decision: 15.12.2023

JUJHAR SINGH

....PETITIONER

Vs.

FOOD CORPORATION OF INDIA AND ORS.

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Ms. Simrat Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. Gursewak Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Anurag Jain, Advocate
for respondent No.1.

Mr. Inderpreet Singh Kang, AAG, Punjab.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through the instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondent department to purchase and lift paddy crop.

2. The petitioner is a commission agent and his grievance is that respondents are not taking delivery of paddy, which is lying with him. He has further grievance that respondents are not allocating further paddy to him.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that grievance of the petitioner, at this stage, would be redressed, if District Food and Supply Controller, Amritsar-respondent No. 4 is directed to look into his grievance and pass an appropriate order with respect to representation dated 07.12.2023.

4. Learned State counsel asserts that Competent Authority would look into the matter and do the needful expeditiously and preferably within two weeks' from today.

5. In the wake of statement of learned State Counsel, the present petition stands disposed of.

[JAGMOHAN BANSAL]
JUDGE

15.12.2023
manoj

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No