

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

112

CWP-28219-2023

Date of Decision :-15.12.2023

Maitri**..Petitioner****Versus****State of Haryana and others****...Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI**

Present: Mr. Ravinder Singh Dhull, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Pankaj Middha, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

* * *

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner very fairly submits that the issue with regard to the eligibility of a candidate having Radiographer certificate instead of the required Radiographer's diploma, has already been decided by this Court while passing order in **CWP-8864-2023 titled as Vijender and others vs. State of Haryana and others decided on 17.08.2023.**

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that an LPA has been filed against the said judgment by the candidates, who are having Radiographer certificate instead of diploma in the same as being mentioned as an essential qualification in the advertisement (Annexure P-1) for appointment.

3. Once, the issue raised in the present petition has already been

answered by this Court by giving detailed reasons in **Vijender (supra)**, the same need not to be decided again in the present petition especially, when the judgement in **Vijender (supra)** has not been stayed, hence the present petition is also dismissed in view of the reasons given by this Court in **Vijender (supra)** as all the issues raised in the present petition stands answered in **Vijender (supra)**.

December 15, 2023

aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No