

118 2023:PHHC:161017
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-63043-2023 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 14.12.2023

Parmjit Singh

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present: Ms. Gaganpreet Kaur, Advocate, for
 Mr. R.K. Kachura, Advocate, for the petitioner.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for directing the official respondents to conduct the inquiry in a fair and impartial manner into the matter and with a further direction to the official respondents not to harass the petitioner unnecessarily.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has read the pleadings and argued the present petition.

I have heard the learned counsel for the petitioner.

The grievance which has been raised in the present petition is that the petitioner is working as an employee of a Society and there is some dispute with the Society but the private respondents No.6 and 7 are harassing the petitioner by filing false complaints by alleging some fraud and he is being called again and again at the police station on false

complaints. It is also stated in the petition that the petitioner has moved a representation to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Fazilka for redressal of his grievances but the copy of the representation is not available with the petitioner and therefore, the same has not been attached with the present petition. The prayer in the present petition is for directing fair and impartial inquiry. The allegations which have been levelled in the present petition appear to be vague. However, it has been so stated in para No.8 of the petition that although a representation was moved to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Fazilka but the copy of the same could not be attached because it was not available with the petitioner. Therefore, this Court cannot interfere at this stage being pre-mature.

Consequently, the present petition is dismissed.

However, considering the averments made in para No.8 of the petition that the petitioner had moved a representation to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Fazilka but the copy of the same could not be attached with the present petition because it was not available with the petitioner, the liberty is granted to the petitioner to now move a comprehensive representation/complaint to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Fazilka, if so desired and in accordance with law. In case any such representation /complaint is moved to the SSP, then the SSP shall consider and decide the same in accordance with law and as expeditiously as possible.

14.12.2023

rakesh

Whether speaking
Whether reportable

: Yes/No
: Yes/No

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE