

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-12011-2023
Date of decision : 14.12.2023

BALO AND ANR.

....Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN

Present : Mr. Mohd. Zeeshan Khan, Advocate for the petitioners.

PANKAJ JAIN, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioners are seeking issuance of directions to the official respondents to protect their life and liberty at the hands of respondents No.4 to 8.

2. Notice of motion.

3. Mr. Tarun Aggarwal, Sr. DAG, Punjab. accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents.

4. As per the petitioners, they have performed *Nikahnama* on 30.11.2023 against the wishes of respondents No.4 to 8, who happen to be the family members/ relatives of petitioner No.1 i.e. Balo.

5. Both the petitioners have claimed themselves to be major relying upon their duly sworn affidavits that petitioner No.1-Balo is 24.07.2002 born and petitioner No.2-Maskeen Ali is 10.12.1999 born.

6. Without commenting on the legality and validity of the

marriage, I deem it appropriate to direct respondent No.2 (Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala) to look into the representation dated 08.12.2023 (Annexure P-5) submitted by the petitioners.

7. Keeping in view the fact that both the petitioners claim to have married, I think that if possible, respondent No.2 may call a meeting of the petitioners as well as respondents No.4 to 8 in his presence, so that the parties get rid off their ill feelings and live amicably.

8. The petition stands disposed off.

December 14, 2023		(Pankaj Jain)
Dpr		Judge
Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No