

IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH

119

CWP-28065-2023 (O&M).
Date of Decision: 14.12.2023.

KAMAL KUMAR

.. Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.

Present: Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Aarya, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

Prayer made in the present writ petition is for seeking issuance of an appropriate writ especially in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to take appropriate legal action against their employee-respondent No.6, alleging that he had joined at the post of Social Studies Master in Elementary Education Department, Haryana by concealment of material facts.

A perusal of the pleadings raised in the present writ petition demonstrates that the petitioner does not suffer from any personal loss or injury and that he has instituted the present writ petition espousing a larger public cause.

Since there is no element of personal loss or injury or any personal cause of action and pre-dominant object in institution of the present writ petition is for safeguarding the larger public interest, the present writ petition in the present form is not maintainable.

Faced with the above, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner does not press the instant petition so as to enable him to file an appropriate petition on the same cause of action.

The present petition is accordingly disposed of as withdrawn with liberty to the petitioner to file an appropriate petition on the same cause of action as per law.

December 14, 2023
raj arora

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether reportable : *Yes/No*