

CRWP-11987-2023
Date of decision: 18.12.2023

Naseema Akhtar and anotherPetitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Mohd. Zeeshan Khan, Advocate for
Mr. Mohd. Salim, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Navreet Kaur Barnala, AAG, Punjab.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)

The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of *mandamus* directing official respondents No.2 & 3 for protection of life and liberty of the petitioners and their family members from respondent No.4.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that respondent No.4 had got married to their son, namely, Liaqat Ali on 10.02.2021, who had left abroad and there is an on going dispute between the husband and wife with regard to the full and final settlement amount and the local police is harassing them at the behest of respondent No.4.

Having heard learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court finds no ground to interfere and issue any direction to the official respondents as there is a dispute between respondent No.4 and son of the petitioners. Only vague apprehensions are made in the present petition.

CRWP-11987-2023

-2-

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court finds no ground for interference, and thus, the present petition stands dismissed.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

18.12.2023
Neha

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No