

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP-12026-2023**

**Date of decision : 14.12.2023**

SUMAN AND ANOTHER

....Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN**

Present : Ms. Gracia Jain, advocate and  
Mr. Sunil L. Tandon, Advocate for the petitioners.

**PANKAJ JAIN, J. (ORAL)**

By way of present petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioners are seeking issuance of directions to the official respondents to protect their life and liberty at the hands of respondents No.4 to 6.

2. Notice of motion.

3. Mr. Gaurav Bansal, DAG, Haryana. accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents.

4. As per the petitioners, they have married on 01.12.2023 against the wishes of respondents No.4 to 6, who happen to be the family members/ relatives of petitioner No.1 i.e. Suman.

5. Both the petitioners have claimed themselves to be major relying upon their Aadhar Cards indicating that petitioner No.1-Suman is 03.04.1985 born and petitioner No.2-Suraj Kumar is 01.01.1997 born.

6. Without commenting on the legality and validity of the

**CRWP-12026-2023**

**-2-**

2023:PHHC:160276

marriage, I deem it appropriate to direct respondent No.2 (Superintendent of Police, Yamuna Nagar) to look into the representation dated 05.12.2023 (Annexure P-5) submitted by the petitioners.

7. Keeping in view the fact that both the petitioners claim to have married, I think that if possible, respondent No.2 may call a meeting of the petitioners as well as respondents No.4 to 6 in his presence, so that the parties get rid off their ill feelings and live amicably.

8. The petition stands disposed off.

**December 14, 2023**  
**Dpr**

**(Pankaj Jain)**  
**Judge**

|                           |   |        |
|---------------------------|---|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | : | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable        | : | Yes/No |