

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-28133-2023

Date of decision : 14.12.2023

Dhillon Transport Regd. Mansa

... Petitioner

Versus

Regional Transport Authority Bathinda and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr.P.S. Bawa, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Ferry Sofat, Addl.A.G. Punjab.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. This is a civil writ petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ directing the respondent to grant mini bus permit on Talwandi to Fatta Ballu route via Nawa Pind, Jagga, Behman Jassa Singh Wala, Beham Kaur Singh Wala, Miriziana, Kaureana, Rayia, Kalal Wala route as applied for by the petitioner and not for the route via Singo, Lehri which the petitioner never applied for.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that for the grievances raised by the petitioner, the petitioner has given a representation dated 31.01.2022 (Annexure P-3) and at this stage, would be satisfied in case the said representation is considered by respondent no.1 in a time bound manner and if after considering the same, in case, the plea raised by the petitioner is found to be meritorious, then necessary relief be granted to the petitioner.

3. Learned State counsel has submitted that respondent no.1

would consider the said representation and decide the same within a period of four weeks from the date of the receipt of the certified copy of the present order.

4. Keeping in view the above said facts and circumstances, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent no.1 to consider the representation dated 31.01.2022 of the petitioner and decide the same, in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of four weeks from the date of the receipt of the certified copy of the present order and in case, after considering the same, the plea of the petitioner is found to be meritorious, then appropriate relief be granted to the petitioner and in case, the plea of the petitioner is not found to be meritorious, then a speaking order rejecting the same be passed within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of the certified copy of the present order.

5. It is made clear that this Court has not opined on the merits of the case and respondent no.1 would consider and decide the representation independently, in accordance with law.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

December 14, 2023.

Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No