

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP-11989-2023  
Date of decision : 13.12.2023**

**PRIYANKA AND ANOTHER                      ....PETITIONERS**

**VERSUS**

**STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS                      ....RESPONDENTS**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI**

Present :     Mr. Naresh Paul, Advocate  
                     for the petitioners.

**KULDEEP TIWARI. J.(Oral)**

1.             The instant petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of directions to the official respondents to protect the lives and liberty of the petitioners and to restrain the private respondents from harassing the petitioners or interfering in their peaceful married life.

2.             Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioner No.1 was earlier married to Rakesh Kumar, who died on dated 22.06.2021, and in support of this fact, death certificate is annexed as (Annexure P-5). Thereupon ,she again solemnized her marriage with petitioner No.2. The date of birth of petitioner no. 1 is 10.06.1992, thus she has completed 30 years of age while the date of birth of petitioner no. 2 is 21.05.1996, and he is now 26 years of age. To substantiate this submission, learned counsel for the petitioners has placed reliance upon copies of Aadhar Cards of the petitioners attached with the petition as Annexures P/1 and P/2 respectively. He further submits that both the petitioners have solemnized marriage

CRWP-11989-2023

-2-

against the wishes of respondents no. 4 and 5 on 05.12.2023 and now they are apprehending threat to their lives and liberty at the hands of private respondents. He further submits that the petitioners have submitted a representation dated 06.12.2023 (Annexure P/4), to the official respondent No.2, but no action has been taken on the same.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On asking of the Court, Mr. Bhupender Singh, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondents no. 1 to 3.

5. In view of the above, without examining the question of legality and validity of the marriage and expressing any opinion thereon, the petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent no. 2 – Superintendent of Police, Jagadhari, Yamuna Nagar, to look into the representation dated 06.12.2023 (Annexure P/4), qua threat perception and if there is any substance in it, to take necessary steps in accordance with law, to thereby ensure that the lives and liberty of the petitioners are not jeopardized, at the hands of private respondents.

6. However, this direction will not validate the marriage as claimed by the petitioners and will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

7. Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)  
JUDGE

13.12. 2023  
monika

|                            |   |        |
|----------------------------|---|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned. | : | Yes/No |
| Whether Reportable.        | : | Yes/No |