

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-27825-2023(O&M)
Date of decision: 12.12.2023

Pale Ram

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL**

Present: Mr. Ashwani Bhardwaj, Advocate,
for the petitioner.
Mr. Ankur Mittal, Addl. AG, Haryana, and
Ms. Kushaldeep Kaur, Advocate.
Mr. Deepak Sabherwal, Advocate,
for the respondent(s)-HSVP.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

The petitioner herein has prayed for the following substantive relief:

“Civil Writ Petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus for directing the respondents to correct status of the plot No.295 Sector, PLS Hisar in the computer system of the department which is showing to be ‘BLOCKED’ on account of double plot under reserved category, whereas the petitioner is but having only one plot and the other plots are belong to the person who are having identical name and parental name but are of different identity.”

Having argued the matter at some length, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that for the petitioner qua his concerns/grievances has already represented to the respondent-authorities vide representation dated

14.08.2023 (P-11), it shall be expedient if a direction is issued to the respondents to deal therewith, and pass necessary orders.

Served with the advance copy of the petition, Mr. Ankur Mittal, Additional Advocate General, Haryana, on behalf of respondent No.1-State, and Mr. Deepak Sabherwal, Advocate, on behalf of respondents No.2 to 5, are present in Court.

Learned counsel for the respondent(s)-HSVP submits that the competent authority shall consider the representation (**ibid**) submitted by the petitioner. And the necessary orders, in accordance with law, shall be passed as expeditiously as possible.

In the wake of the position sketched out above, and in terms of the statements made by learned counsel for the parties, the petition is disposed of. This Court is sanguine that the competent authority shall consider the matter in the right earnest, and pass the necessary orders.

Needless to assert that this order shall not constitute any expression of opinion on the merits of the case of either party, for, as indicated above, the competent authority shall examine the concerns/grievances of the petitioner, strictly in accordance with law.

(Arun Palli)
Judge

(Vikram Aggarwal)
Judge

12.12.2023
Rajan

Whether speaking / reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

YES/NO
YES/NO