

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-27990-2023(O&M)
Date of decision: 13.12.2023

Lalit Kumar Jindal

... Petitioner

Versus

Haryana State Industrial and Infrastructure Development Corporation Ltd. and
others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. Sumeet Jain, Advocate,
for the petitioner.
Ms. Kushaldeep Kaur, Advocate,
for the respondents.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

The petitioner herein is aggrieved by the order dated July 24, 2023 (P-13), whereby his request to mutate the property [plot No.118, Rojka Meo, Tehsil Nuh, District Mewat (Haryana)] in his name, has since been rejected.

Served with the advance copy of the petition, Ms. Kushaldeep Kaur, Advocate, on behalf of the respondents, is present in Court. As always, she fairly submits that since the impugned order is apparently perverse, for no reasons are assigned for rejection of the claim of the petitioner, it shall be expedient if the competent authority (Estate Manager, Gurugram) is required to pass a fresh order. Accordingly, she submits that the order (P-13) under challenge be deemed to have been withdrawn. For, the fresh orders, in accordance with law, shall be passed within a period of two weeks from today, after providing an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner.

That being so, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that let this petition be disposed of in terms of the statement made by learned counsel for the respondents.

In the wake of the position sketched out above, and in terms of the statements made by learned counsel for the parties, the petition stands disposed of.

Needless to assert that this order shall not constitute any expression of opinion on the merits of the case of either party, for, as indicated above, the appropriate order shall be passed, strictly in accordance with law.

(Arun Palli)
Judge

(Vikram Aggarwal)
Judge

13.12.2023
Rajan

Whether speaking / reasoned:	YES/NO
Whether Reportable:	YES/NO