

121 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

RSA-1098-2020
Decided on:-17.10.2023

RaniAppellant.
VS.

State Bank of Patiala and anotherRespondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Mr. D.S. Randhawa, Advocate for the appellant.

HARKESH MANUJA J. (Oral)

1. By way of present appeal, challenge has been laid to the judgment and decree 25.09.2019 passed by the Additional District Judge, Patiala, whereby, appeal filed at the instance of respondent No.1-plaintiff against the judgment and decree dated 23.02.2017 passed by the Civil Judge, Junior Division, Patiala, was allowed, thereby, decreeing the suit for recovery against the appellant.

2. Learned counsel for the appellant submits that as per verbal instructions, the matter has been settled between the parties and therefore, the appellant is not willing to press the present appeal, however, no document as regard compromise has been placed on record.

3. In view of the above, the present appeal is disposed of being not pressed, however, with liberty to the appellant to seek revival of the present appeal, in case, any cause survives.

17.10.2023
sonika

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/ No