

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

CR No.7771 of 2023

Date of Decision: 21.12.2023

Raja Taneja @ Raja Tenaja

...Petitioner

Versus

Renu Sharma and others

...Respondents

**CORAM:** ***HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA***

Present:- Mr. Harmanpreet Singh, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

\*\*\*\*\*

**MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (Oral)**

By filing the instant revision-petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner-defendant No.1 has sought the indulgence of this Court for the issuance of direction to learned Additional Civil Judge (Senior Division), Pathankot (for short 'the trial Court'), to decide ***Civil Suit No.766 of 2016*** titled as "***Renu Sharma vs. Raja Taneja and others***" in a time bound manner, while averring that the said Civil Suit had been filed in September, 2016 but it has not yet been decided.

2. I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner in the present revision-petition, at the preliminary stage and have also perused the file carefully.

3. Keeping in view the fact that the afore-referred Civil Suit is pending adjudication for the last more than 07 (seven) years and without commenting or expressing any opinion on the merits thereof, the revision-

petition in hand is, hereby, disposed of with a direction to the concerned trial Court to conclude the trial proceedings in the above-mentioned Civil Suit, as pending before it and to decide the same, in accordance with law, preferably within a period of 10 (ten) weeks from the date of receipt of the certified copy of this order.

**21.12.2023**  
neetu

**(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)**  
**JUDGE**

*Whether speaking/reasoned:*      *Yes*  
*Whether Reportable:*                *No*