

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

111. **CRM-M No.61897 of 2023 (O&M)**
Date of Decision:11.12.2023

Bhim Singh ... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others ... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Ms. Ayushi Panwar, Advocate for
Mr. Pardhuman Garg, Advocate
for the petitioner.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking direction to respondents No.2 and 3 to call for the status report in FIR No.122 dated 28.10.2015 registered under Sections 409, 120B IPC at Police Station Kotwali Nabha, District Patiala and take action on the said FIR in accordance with law, with a further direction to the official respondents to file challan against respondent No.5 in the said FIR expeditiously, in accordance with law.

2. In brief, the facts are that on 02.08.2011, the petitioner had joined as Computer Operator at Tehsil Office Nabha on a salary of Rs.5000/- per month. He was performing his duties with honesty and in accordance with rules, however, Sandeep Kumar, Reader of Tehsildar Nabha wanted him to do illegal works, which he refused to do. Thereafter, Sandeep Kumar, Reader along with his friends Harjinder Singh, Inderjeet Singh and ASI, Reader DSP hatched a conspiracy against the petitioner. ASI, Inderjeet Singh called him in the office and said that a complaint has been received from Harjinder Singh, Inderjeet Singh Reader, DSP Nabha and Harjinder Singh property dealer and his friend

Sandeep Kumar, Tehsildar Nabha and threatened him either to leave the job or else they will put him behind the bar by implicating him in a false case. Ultimately, FIR No.122 dated 28.10.2015 registered under Sections 409, 120B IPC was registered by the petitioner at Police Station Kotwali Nabha, District Patiala.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner *inter alia* contends that the petitioner has compromised with two accused namely Sandeep Kumar and Harjinder Singh and cancellation report has also been filed qua them by the investigating agency. However, final report under Section 173 Cr.P.C. has not been presented by the police against respondent No.5, despite eight years have elapsed. It is further contended that police officials are harassing the petitioner in connivance with respondent No.5 and pressurising him to compromise the matter. It is in this background, direction is sought to be issued to respondents No.2 to 3 to file status report in the said FIR and file final report under Section 173 Cr.P.C.

4. Having heard learned counsel for the petitioner and after perusing the paper book, this Court find no ground to issue direction to the official respondents as prayed by the petitioner. However, the petitioner would be at liberty to avail his alternative remedy by filing an appropriate application before the concerned jurisdictional Magistrate in accordance with law.

5. With the aforesaid observation, the instant petition stands dismissed.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

December 11, 2023
Pankaj*

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No