

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-27878-2023(O&M)
Date of decision: 12.12.2023**

Sachin Gupta

... Petitioner

Versus

Haryana State Industrial & Infrastructure Development Corporation Ltd.

... Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL**

Present: Mr. Tarun Kumar, Advocate, for
Mr. Sudhir Rana, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Deepak Sabherwal, Advocate,
for the respondent.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

The petitioner herein has prayed for the following substantive relief:

**“CIVIL WRIT PETITION under Article 226/227 of the
Constitution of India, for issuance of an appropriate
writ, order or direction, especially in the nature of
Mandamus directing the respondent to grant 10%
rebate to the petitioner, as per condition no.2 (ii) (a) of
the regular allotment letter dated 03.03.2023 (Annexure
P/1), in the interest of justice.”**

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that prior to the institution of this petition, the petitioner had even served the respondent-authorities with the representation dated 04.10.2023 (P-3). But to no avail.

Served with the advance copy of the petition, Mr. Deepak Sabherwal, Advocate, on behalf of the respondent-authority, is present in Court. He submits that since the competent authority is already in *seisin* of the

representation alleged to have been submitted by the petitioner, it shall be expedient if this petition is disposed of, at this stage, to enable the respondent authority to consider the concerns/grievances of the petitioner. And pass appropriate orders, in accordance with law.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is in agreement with the course suggested by learned counsel for the respondent. However, he submits that the competent authority be directed to take a decision within a specified time.

To which, learned counsel for the respondent submits that the necessary orders, after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, shall be passed within a period of 4 weeks from today.

In the wake of the position sketched out above, and in terms of the statements made by learned counsel for the parties, the petition is disposed of. This Court is sanguine that the competent authority shall consider the matter in the right earnest, and pass the necessary orders.

Needless to assert that this order shall not constitute any expression of opinion on the merits of the case of either party, for, as indicated above, the competent authority shall examine the concerns/grievances of the petitioner, strictly in accordance with law.

(Arun Palli)
Judge

(Vikram Aggarwal)
Judge

12.12.2023
Rajan

Whether speaking / reasoned:	YES/NO
Whether Reportable:	YES/NO