

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

111

**2023:PHHC:156128  
CWP No.27495 of 2023  
Date of Decision:07.12.2023**

**Bachan Singh**

**....Petitioner**

VS.

**Union of India and another**

**....Respondents**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL**

Present: Mr. Vipin Mahajan, Advocate and  
for the petitioner

Mr. Vijay Pal, Senior Panel Counsel  
for the Union of India

\*\*\*

**JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)**

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondent No. 2 to re-issue him passport.
2. The petitioner was issued passport on 30.06.2008 which expired on 29.06.2018. An FIR No. 64 dated 01.04.2017, under Sections 406, 498-A,326,120-B of IPC was registered against the petitioner at Police Station, Tanda, District Hoshiarpur. Charges stand framed against the petitioner.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is entitled to passport in terms of Notification No. 570 dated 25.08.1993 issued by Central Government.

4. Learned counsel for Union of India, who on advance notice is present in Court, submits that Notification No. 570 dated 25.08.1993 issued by Central Government needs to be read alongwith Section 6(2)(f) of the Passport Act. The petitioner on account of pendency of criminal trial cannot be issued passport, however, the Notification has relaxed the condition, subject to approval of trial court. The charges have already been framed against the petitioner, thus, petitioner is required to get approval from trial court.

5. Faced with this, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the instant petition with liberty to approach trial court in terms of aforesaid Notification.

6. Disposed of with liberty as aforesaid.

**(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)**  
**JUDGE**

**07.12.2023**  
paramjit

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No  
Whether reportable: Yes/No