

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-11850-2023

Date of decision: 11.12.2023

HARJIT SINGH

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

....Respondents.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present:- Mr. Mohinder Kumar, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

SANJIV BERRY, J.(ORAL)

The instant petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, has been preferred by the petitioner seeking appropriate writ, order or directions to the official respondents to consider and dispose of his representation dated 11.11.2023 (Annexure P-11).

2. It is *inter alia* contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that apprehending threat to his life and liberty, the petitioner had moved a representation (Annexure P-11) to the official respondents on 11.11.2023, but till date no action thereon has been taken.

3. He contends that for the purpose of this petition, the petitioner will be satisfied if appropriate directions are issued to respondent no.3 to consider and dispose of his representation dated 11.11.2023 (Annexure P-11) in accordance with law in an expeditious manner.

4. Notice of motion.

5. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Subhash Godara, Addl.A.G., Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.
6. Heard.
7. Considering the respective submissions and the averments made in the petition, without commenting on the merits thereof the instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent no.3 to consider and dispose of the representation moved by the petitioner dated 11.11.2023 (Annexure P-11), in accordance with law in an expeditious manner.
8. The decision so taken by respondent no.3 be intimated to the petitioner as well.
9. Pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

11.12.2023
dharamvir

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |