

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-27519-2023(O&M)
Date of decision: 07.12.2023

Surinder Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. S.K. Tripathi, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Addl. AG, Haryana,
Mr. Karan Jindal, Asstt. AG, Haryana, and
Mr. Kushaldeep Kaur, Advocate.

Mr. Deepak Sabherwal, Advocate,
for respondents No.2 to 3.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

The petitioner herein has prayed for the following substantive relief:

**“Civil Writ Petition under Article 226/227 of the
Constitution of India praying for issuance of a writ of
mandamus for directions to the respondents to allot the
plot to the petitioner under the outstees policy dated
18.03.1992 and further issuance a writ of certiorari to
the extent for quashing of price of Rs.36885/- per sq. mtr.
Dated 12.09.2023 (Annexure P/2) issuance by the
Administrator HSVP, Faridabad.**

AND

**Further for issuance of a writ in the nature of
Mandamus considering the application dated 10.10.2023
(Annexure P/3) filed by the petitioner for allotment of
plot @ Rs.21600/- per sq. mtr. in lieu of acquisition of**

land in pursuance to the earlier notification dated 26.10.2018.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner, at the outset, submits that prior to the institution of this petition, the respondents were even served with the representation dated 10.10.2023 (P-3) as regards the concerns/grievances of the petitioner, as sought to be raised in this petition. He submits that though a considerable time has elapsed, but the matter has not made any tangible progress.

Served with the advance copy of the petition, Mr. Ankur Mittal, Additional Advocate General, Haryana, on behalf of respondent No.1-State, and Mr. Deepak Sabherwal, Advocate, on behalf of respondents No.2 to 4, are present in Court.

Learned counsel for respondents No.2 to 4-HSVP submits that let this petition be disposed of, at this stage, to enable the competent authority, who is in seisin of the representation (**ibid**) submitted by the petitioner, to deal with his concerns/grievances. And pass the necessary orders, in accordance with law.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is in agreement with the course suggested by learned counsel for the respondent(s)-HSVP and submits that let this petition be disposed of in terms of the statement made by him. However, he submits that the authorities be directed to pass the required order within a specified time.

To this, learned counsel for the respondent(s)-HSVP submits that the necessary orders shall be passed by the competent authority within a period of two months from today.

The petition is accordingly disposed of in terms of the statements made by learned counsel for the parties.

Needless to assert that this order shall not constitute any expression of opinion on the merits of the case of either party, for, as indicated above, the competent authority shall examine the concerns/grievances of the petitioner, strictly in accordance with law.

(Arun Palli)
Judge

(Vikram Aggarwal)
Judge

07.12.2023
Rajan

Whether speaking / reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

YES/NO
YES/NO