

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-27419-2023

Date of decision : 07.12.2023

Mohinder Pal Singh and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Rajinder Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr.Ferry Sofat, Addl.A.G. Punjab.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. This is a civil writ petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari quashing the route no.27/RTA/ASR i.e., Amritsar to Talwandi Nahar via Ratan Singh Chowk, By pass, Fatehgarh Village, Dayanand, Nagar Bal Sohian, Chetanpura, Sangatpura, Mehdi pur Adda, Nawanpind, Shaheed Bhagat Singh Chowk from the array of notification no.8/1/ 2021-3T3/287 dated 11.02.2021 (Annexure P-5).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that for the grievances raised by the petitioners, the petitioners have given a legal notice dated 06.11.2023 (Annexure P-8) and at this stage, would be satisfied in case the said legal notice is considered by the competent authority of respondent no.1 in a time bound manner and if after considering the same, in case, the plea raised by the petitioners is found to be meritorious, then necessary relief be granted to the petitioners.

3. Learned State counsel has submitted that the competent authority of respondent no.1 would consider the said legal notice and decide the same within a period of 2 months from the date of the receipt of certified copy of the present order.
4. Keeping in view the above said facts and circumstances, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority of respondent no.1 to consider the legal notice dated 06.11.2023 (Annexure P-8) of the petitioners and decide the same, in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of 2 months from the date of the receipt of certified copy of the present order and in case, after considering the same, the plea of the petitioners is found to be meritorious, then necessary relief be granted to the petitioners and in case, the plea of the petitioners is not found to be meritorious, then a speaking order rejecting the same be passed within a period of 2 months from the date of the receipt of certified copy of the present order.
5. It is made clear that this Court has not opined on the merits of the case and the competent authority of respondent no.1 would consider and decide the legal notice independently, in accordance with law.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

December 07, 2023.

Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No