

215

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No. 61283 of 2023 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 11.12.2023**

Sushil @ Susheel

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Mr. Divyam Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Krishan Kumar Chahal, Additional Advocate General, Haryana

HARKESH MANUJA, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C., prayer has been made for grant of bail pending trial in case FIR No. 0309, dated 14.09.2023, under Sections 170, 420, 467, 468 & 471 of IPC, registered at Police Station Quilla Panipat, District Panipat (Haryana).

[2] As per allegations, the petitioner was apprehended with four different identity cards of Govt. Departments i.e. Haryana Police, Panchayati Raj Department, Govt. of Haryana, ITBP, MHA, Govt. of India and one Aadhar Card with the address of Village Naultha, which were found in his car / vehicle owned and possessed by him.

[3] Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the investigation in the present case stands concluded with the filing of challan and the petitioner is in custody since 15.09.2023. He also submits that the petitioner is not involved in any other criminal case.

[4] On the other hand, learned State counsel opposes the prayer while submitting that the petitioner was carrying four identity cards of different departments, having forged documents with the purpose of misusing the same; thus, he does not deserve the concession of regular bail.

[5] I have heard learned counsel for the parties and gone through the paper-book. I find substance in the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

[6] In the present case, the petitioner is in custody since 15.09.2023 and investigation stands concluded with the filing of challan; he is not involved in any other case and the fact that the trial is likely to take some time. Even as per learned State Counsel, during investigation, no misuse of the aforesaid four identity cards was traceable.

[7] In view of the above, but without commenting upon merits of the present petition, the same is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing adequate bail bonds / surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Trial Court/Illaq Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

[8] However, in case the petitioner indulges himself in similar kind of activity, the prosecution shall be at liberty to seek cancellation of the bail granted in favour of the petitioner in the present case.

December 11, 2023

'dk kamra'

**(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE**

<i>Whether Speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>