

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-11783-2023

Date of decision : 07.12.2023

SONU AND ANOTHER

....Petitioners

Versus

UNION TERRITORY CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN

Present : Mr. Adarshdeep Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

PANKAJ JAIN, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioners are seeking issuance of directions to the official respondents to protect their life and liberty at the hands of respondents No.4 to 8.

2. Notice of motion.

3. Ms. Simsi Dhir Malhotra, APP UT, Chandigarh accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents.

4. As per the petitioners, they have married on 12.07.2022 against the wishes of respondents No.4 to 8, who happen to be the family members/ relatives of petitioner No.1 i.e. Sonu.

5. Both the petitioners have claimed themselves to be major relying upon their Aadhar Cards indicating that petitioner No.1-Sonu is 1993 born and petitioner No.2-Ram Kishan is 10.10.1994 born.

6. Without commenting on the legality and validity of the marriage, I deem it appropriate to direct respondent No.2 (Sr. Superintendent of Police, U.T., Chandigarh) to look into the representation dated 03.10.2023 (Annexure P-4) submitted by the petitioners.
7. Keeping in view the fact that both the petitioners claim to have married, I think that if possible, respondent No.2 may call a meeting of the petitioners as well as respondents No.4 to 8 in his presence, so that the parties get rid off their ill feelings and live amicably.
8. The petition stands disposed off.

December 07, 2023	(Pankaj Jain)
Dpr	Judge
Whether speaking/reasoned	: Yes/No
Whether reportable	: Yes/No