

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(Sr. No. 251)

CRM-M No. 54536 of 2019

Date of decision : 16.01.2023

Vanit Kumar and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present : None for the petitioners.

Mr. A.P.S. Tung, DAG, Punjab.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of FIR No.112 dated 30.10.2019 registered under Sections 324, 323 and 34 IPC (Section 326 IPC added later on) at Police Station Kalanaur, district Gurdaspur and all proceedings arising therefrom qua the petitioners, on the basis of a written compromise (Annexure P-2).

On 06.07.2022, this Court passed the following order :-

“The petitioners have approached this Court seeking quashing of FIR (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom on the basis of a compromise having been effected between the parties.

The parties are directed to move an application before the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate concerned for getting their statements recorded qua the factum of compromise. As and when any such application is moved and put up before the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate, the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate shall consider the said application and do the needful for recording the

statements of the parties qua the factum of the compromise, on any convenient date. After recording the statements of all the affected parties, and upon getting requisite information from Investigating Officer, the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate shall submit its report on the basis of the statements so recorded, broadly on the following aspects :

(i) Whether there is any other accused other than the petitioners, arrayed in this petition?

(ii) Whether there is any other complainant or affected/aggrieved party other than the respondents, arrayed in the petition?

(iii) Whether any accused has been declared Proclaimed Offender?

(iv) Whether the compromise in question is found to be a valid compromise and has been effected without there being any kind of influence or coercion?

List on 16.1.2023.

The report be submitted before this Court on or before the next date.”

As per the report of the Judicial Magistrate First Class, Gurdaspur, none of the parties appeared before him to get their statements recorded in terms of the afore quoted order.

Even the case has been called twice with no appearance on behalf of the petitioners.

Dismissed.

16.01.2023.

sunil yadav

**(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No