

108 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-61126-2023

Date of Decision: 05.12.2023

Hardev Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and ors.

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Mr. Deepak Arora, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

HARKESH MANUJA, J.

1. By way of present petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C., a prayer has been made for issuance of direction to respondent No.2 to transfer the investigation in FIR No.0184 dated 11.10.2023, under Section 379-B IPC, registered at Police Station Cantonment, District Police Commissionerate Amritsar, FIR No.216 dated 17.10.2023, under Section 379-B, Police Station Chheharta, District Police Commissionerate Amritsar and FIR No.202, dated 19.10.2023, under Section 379-B IPC, Police Station Gharinda, District Amritsar Rural to some higher officials for the proper and fair investigation.

2. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that instead of pressing the present petition on merits, his client would be satisfied in case direction is issued to the respondents to decide the representation dated 25.11.2023 (Annexure P-5) moved at the instance of

petitioner by passing a speaking order expeditiously.

3. Prayer seems to be justified.

4. Upon advance notice, Mr. Amish Sharma, AAG, Punjab appears on behalf of respondents No.1 to 6 and raises no objection to the innocuous prayer made on behalf of the petitioner.

5. In view of the agreed stand taken by both sides, but without going into the merits of the controversy, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3-Senior Superintendent of Police, District Amritsar Rural to look into the representation dated 25.11.2023 (Annexure P-5) and decide the same in accordance with law by passing a speaking order preferably within a period of 08 (eight) weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

05.12.2023

sonika

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: yes/no

Whether reportable? yes/no