

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(106)

CWP-27052-2023

Date of decision: - 02.12.2023

Lachhman Singh and others

....Petitioners

Versus

The Secretary, Regional Transport Authority

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Ms. Nisha Rana, Advocate
for Mr. Mitul Singh Rana, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Ferry Sofat, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. Present writ petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondent to adjust the mini buses belonging to the petitioners bearing number PB-06-G-9909, PB-07-C-0684, PB-07-L-8024 having route permits bearing No.23/SC/HSP/20, 127/R/SC/HSP/23, 125/R/SC/HSP/22 respectively (Annexure P-1) into the new joint time table of buses (Annexure P-2) having route from Dasuya to Hajipur via Ghogra, Nangal Bihala, the details of which have been given in the representations dated 30.05.2023 (Annexure P-3), 05.10.2023 (Annexure P-4) and 07.11.2023 (Annexure P-5).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that for the grievances of the petitioners, the petitioners have given three separate representations (Annexures P-3 to P-5) to the respondent-State and at this stage, they would be satisfied in case each representation is considered by

the competent authority of the respondent in a time bound manner and if after considering the same, in case, the plea raised by the petitioners is found to be meritorious, then, appropriate relief be granted to the petitioners.

3. Learned State counsel has submitted that the competent authority of the respondent-State would consider the said representations (Annexures P-3 to P-5) and decide the same within a period of three months from the date of certified copy of this order.

4. Keeping in view the above-said facts and circumstances, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority of the respondent-State to consider the said representations (Annexures P-3 to P-5) of the petitioners and decide the same, in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible, preferably, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order and in case, after considering the same, the plea of the petitioners is found to be meritorious, then, the appropriate relief be granted to the petitioners and in case, the plea of the petitioners is not found to be meritorious, then, separate speaking orders rejecting the claim be passed within the aforesaid period of three months.

5. It is made clear that this Court has not opined on the merits of the case and the competent authority of the respondent-State would consider and decide the matter independently, in accordance with law.

December 02, 2023
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No