

118 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-60946-2023

Date of Decision: 04.12.2023

Harjeet Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and ors.

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Mr. Vishal Goel, Advocate for the petitioner.

HARKESH MANUJA, J.

1. By way of present petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C., a prayer has been made for issuance of direction to respondents not to harass the petitioner by calling him to the Police Station time and again. With further prayer to issue direction to respondent No.2 to decide the representation dated 27.11.2023.

2. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that instead of pressing the present petition on merits, his client would be satisfied in case direction is issued to the respondents to decide the representation dated 27.11.2023 (Annexure P-1) moved at the instance of petitioner by passing a speaking order expeditiously.

3. Prayer seems to be justified.

4. Upon advance notice, Mr. G.S. Dhillon, AAG, Punjab appears on behalf of respondents No.1 to 4 and raises no objection to the innocuous prayer made on behalf of the petitioner.

5. In view of the agreed stand taken by both sides, but without going into the merits of the controversy, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala to look into the representation dated 27.11.2023 (Annexure P-1) and decide the same in accordance with law by passing a speaking order preferably within a period of 08 (eight) weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

04.12.2023

sonika

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: yes/no
Whether reportable? yes/no