

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

201

CWP-27068-2023
Date of Decision: 15.12.2023

Grisha Industries

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and Others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. Lovish Mittal, Advocate and
Mr. Pranshul Dhull, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr. Inderpreet Singh Kang, AAG, Punjab

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to the respondents to allot paddy as per Clause 13 of the Custom Milling Policy 2023-24.
2. Learned State counsel, at the outset, submits that the petitioner was allotted paddy as per his entitlement, however, he rejected it on the ground that it contained moisture. The stock was tested and found as per prescribed standard. The stock remained in *mandi* and thereafter under compelled circumstances, it was released to another miller as RO paddy. The petitioner illegally withheld one truck containing stock in his mill on 26.11.2023 and released it on 28.11.2023. The District Allotment Committee has passed a speaking order. The petitioner has remedy of appeal against the said order.

3. In the wake of aforesaid facts and circumstances, the present petition is dismissed with liberty to the petitioner to avail remedy as permissible by law.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

15.12.2023
Mohit Kumar

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>