

2023:PHHC:156403

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

222

CRM-M-60486-2023

Date of decision : 07.12.2023

Tarun Taneja

..... Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN

Present: Mr. Ravinder Rana, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*\*\*

**PANKAJ JAIN, J. (Oral)**

1. At the outset, counsel for the petitioner submits that with respect to document Annexure P-4 i.e. order passed by this Court on 04.09.2023, there is assertion in para 5 of the petition which reads as under:-

“XX XX XX

5. That thereafter, the petitioner approached the Ld. Sessions Court, Ambala for granting the regular bail in the present case. However, same has also been dismissed by the Ld. Additional Sessions Judge Ambala on 03.10.2023. A copy of order dated 03.10.2023 passed by the Ld. Additional Sessions Judge, Ambala is annexed herewith as Annexure P-3. It is worthwhile to mention here that the Petitioner also moved an application for grant of bail under section 437(6) of Criminal Procedure Code before the Ld. Trial Court, which was dismissed by the Trial Court on 08.06.2023. Thereafter, against the order dated 08.06.2023, the petitioner preferred Criminal

2023:PHHC:156403

Revision No.94 of 2023, before the Ld. Sessions Judge, Ambala and same was dismissed on 15.07.2023. Then the petitioner approached this Hon'ble Court and filed CRM-M-36555 of 2023, however same has been dismissed as withdrawn on 15.11.2023. A copy of order dated 15.11.2023 is annexed herewith as Annexure P-4.”

The same is factually incorrect. The order pleaded is not appended.

2. Prayer is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case bearing FIR No.510 dated 19.09.2022, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 406, 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of IPC at Police Station Ambala City, District Ambala.

3. Reliance is being placed upon order dated 04.09.2022 passed in **CRM-M-34750-2023** and that of dated 06.09.2023 passed in **CRM-M-43618-2023**, whereby co-accused Harish Kumar and Rameshwar have been granted regular bail. Counsel refers to the police proceedings as mentioned in the FIR to submit that recovery of Rs.20 lakhs as per the disclosure statements in the present case was effected from all the 3 accused i.e. Tarun Taneja, Harish Kumar and Rameshwar. Thus, the petitioner-Tarun Taneja would be at par with Harish Kumar and Rameshwar and cannot be treated differently. Both of them already stand admitted to regular bail by this Court.

4. Keeping in view the parity and the incarceration already suffered by the petitioner, the present petition is allowed. Petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Ld. Trial Court/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

2023:PHHC:156403

5.           Needless to say nothing recorded herein shall be construed to be an expression of an opinion on the merits of the case.

(PANKAJ JAIN)  
JUDGE

07.12.2023  
Dinesh

|                             |     |
|-----------------------------|-----|
| Whether speaking/reasoned : | Yes |
| Whether Reportable :        | No  |