

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

131

CWP-26837-2023

Date of Decision: 30.11.2023

Usha Rani

...Petitioner

Versus

Punjab National Bank and Others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Ms. Ridhi Bansal, Advocate and
Ms. Sidhi Bansal, Advocate and
Mr. Sagar Ratusaria, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Saurav Verma, Advocate with
Ms. Preeti Grover, Advocate for the respondents

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to the respondents to decide her representation dated 02.11.2021 (Annexure P-3) in terms of Financial Assistance Scheme.
2. The husband of the petitioner was working with respondent as Head Peon. He passed away in harness on 31.05.2021. The petitioner, in terms of Circular No.495/2020 governing financial assistance to family members of a deceased employee, moved an application dated 02.11.2021.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that application of the petitioner seeking financial assistance is still pending with the respondents though a period of almost two years has passed away.

4. Learned counsel for the respondents assures the Court that representation of the petitioner would be decided within 2 months from today, if not already decided, in accordance with prevailing policy as well as law in force. He further assures the Court that if the order has already been passed, it would be communicated to the petitioner within two weeks from today.

5. In the wake of statements of both sides, the present petition stands disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

30.11.2023
Mohit Kumar

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>