

112 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRWP-11538-2023

Date of Decision: 30.11.2023

Ranjit Kaur

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and ors.

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Mr. Rishu Mahajan, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

HARKESH MANUJA, J.

1. By way of present petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, a prayer has been made for issuance of direction to respondents No.1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner as well as her family members at the hands of respondents No.5 to 14.

2. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that instead of pressing the present petition on merits, his client would be satisfied in case direction is issued to the respondents to decide the representation dated 06.11.2023 (Annexure P-2) moved at the instance of petitioner by passing a speaking order expeditiously.

3. Prayer seems to be justified.

4. Upon advance notice, Mr. Shubham Kaushik, AAG, Punjab appears on behalf of respondents No.1 to 4 and raises no objection to the innocuous prayer made on behalf of the petitioner.

5. In view of the agreed stand taken by both sides, but without going into the merits of the controversy, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents No.3 & 4 i.e. Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar & SHO, Police Station Division Bhargo Camp, Jalandhar to look into the representation dated 06.11.2023 (Annexure P-2) and decide the same in accordance with law by passing a speaking order preferably within a period of 08 (eight) weeks' from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

30.11.2023

sonika

(HARKESH MANUJA)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: yes/no

Whether reportable? yes/no