

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.27185 of 2023 (O&M)

Date of Decision :04.12.2023

Gaurav Gaur

.....Petitioner

Versus

Haryana Shehri Vikas Pradhikaran and others

..... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI, JUDGE
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL, JUDGE**

Present : Mr. Raghav Dayal Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Sabherwal, Advocate for respondents.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral):

The petitioner herein has prayed for the following substantive relief:-

“Civil writ petition under Articles 226/227 of Constitution of India praying for the issuance of a writ, order or direction, in the nature of mandamus directing the respondent No.3 to unblock the account of plot No.727, Sector 30, Urban Estate, Pinjore, enabling the petitioner to generate E-challan for depositing the outstanding dues towards the said plot by taking a final decision on request letter dated 21.09.2023 (Annexure P-5) and reminder letter dated 17.11.2023 (Annexure P-7) in view of the averments made in the petition;

AND / OR

For the issuance of a writ, order or direction, in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to take necessary action on the representations dated 21.09.2023 (Annexure P-5) & dated 17.11.2023 (Annexure P-7) which remain undecided till date;

AND / OR

Directing the respondents not to levy any

interest on the delayed deposit of payment towards the purchase price of plot No.727, Sector 30, Urban Estate, Pinjore, from 21.09.2023 onwards, the date since when the petitioner has been requesting that he be permitted to deposit the payment, which has not been deposited ever since on account of inaction on the part of respondent authorities.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that prior to the institution of this petition, the petitioner had even served the respondents-authority with representations dated 21.09.2023 (P5) and 17.11.2023 (P7), but to no avail.

Served with the advance copy of the petition, Mr. Deepak Sabherwal, Advocate, is present in Court, on behalf of the respondents. He submits that as the competent authority is already in seizin of the matter, therefore, it shall be expedient, if the petition is disposed of at this stage, to enable the respondents to deal with the concerns/grievances of the petitioner and decide the representations (ibid) submitted by the petitioner, by passing an appropriate order in accordance with law.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is agreeable to the course suggested by learned counsel for the respondents and submits that let the petition be disposed of in terms of the statement made by learned counsel for the respondents-HSVP. However, he submits that authorities be directed to do the needful within a specified time.

To this, learned counsel for the respondents submits that the necessary orders in accordance with law shall be passed within a period of one week from today after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner.

In the wake of the position, as sketched out above, the petition is

accordingly disposed of, in terms of the statement made by learned counsel for the parties. This Court is sanguine that the competent authority would consider the claim of the petitioner in the right earnest and after affording him an opportunity of hearing, pass appropriate orders, assigning reasons in support thereof.

Needless to assert that this order shall not constitute an expression of opinion on the merits of the case of either party, for, as indicated above, the authority shall examine the grievances of the petitioner, strictly in accordance with law.

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

04.12.2023
Manoj Bhutani

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No