

CWP-4932-2018 (O&M)

2023:PHHC:126140

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

213

CWP-4932-2018 (O&M)

Date of Decision :-25.09.2023

Ramesh Singh and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Abhimanyu Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Sourabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Shubhkarman Singh Gill, Advocate for
Mr. Kshitij Sharma, Advocate for respondent No.5.

* * *

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners very fairly submits that in the present petition, the petitioners are claiming seniority over the private respondent on the ground that during the selection process, the petitioners were having higher merit as compared to the private respondent whereas, in the reply filed by the respondents, it has been stated that as per the rules governing the service after the selection process, in order to become eligible for appointment to the post of Patwari, a candidate has to pass a patwari examination and only those selected candidates, who pass the said examination are entitled for appointment to the post of patwari and in the present case respondent No.5 had passed the said examination prior to the petitioners and had joined the post of Patwari prior to the date when the

petitioners joined hence, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

Ordered accordingly.

CM-19130-CWP-2018 & CM-5439-CWP-2018

Applications are also disposed of as such.

September 25, 2023
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No