

2023:PHHC:152839

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

123

**CRM-M-60386-2023
Date of Decision.:01.12.2023**

Kanishkveer Singh

Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and Others

Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present: Mr. Jasraj Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner has pointed out towards an error in the headnote of the petition as well as prayer clause inasmuch as Section 379 of the IPC has been mentioned in place of Section 279 of the IPC.

As per the oral request made by learned counsel for the petitioner, the error is permitted to be rectified.

Registry is directed to carry out the necessary correction by mentioning Section 279 of the IPC in place of Section 379 of the IPC in the headnote as well as prayer clause of the petition.

Petitioner is facing trial in case FIR No.276 dated 25.12.2018 registered at Police Station Model Town, District Hoshiarpur for the offences punishable under Sections 304-A, 279, 337, 427 of the IPC.

It is informed by learned counsel that case has reached at the stage of defence evidence. Learned counsel contends that during pendency of the trial, compromise has been effected between the petitioner and the legal heirs of the deceased.

The limited prayer made by learned counsel is to direct the Trial Court concerned so as to take into account the compromise between the parties while disposing of the case.

In view of the aforesaid limited prayer made by counsel for the petitioner, the present petition is hereby disposed of with the direction to Trial Court concerned to consider the factum of compromise between the parties at the time of final disposal of the case.

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

December 01, 2023
Neetika Tuteja

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No