

(259)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRWP-11494-2023 (O & M)
Date of Decision: 06.12.2023

Neha

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and ors.

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Mr. Rakesh Gupta, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajiv Goel, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Rajiv Kumar Saini, Advocate,
for the private respondent(s).

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J.

The prayer in the present petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner at the hands of respondents No.4 to 10 and their other accomplices.

2. The learned counsel for the respondents No.1 to 3-State has filed a reply dated 05.12.2023 in the Court today. The same is taken on record. While referring to the aforesaid reply, he contends that the statements of all the private respondents including the parents of the petitioner-Neha have been recorded and they have stated that they shall not interfere in the life of the petitioner.

3. In view of the above, the learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he wishes to withdraw the present petition at this stage.

4. Ordered accordingly.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

December 06, 2023

sukhpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:-

Yes/No