

108 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-61168-2023

Date of Decision: 06.12.2023

Ashwani Narang

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and ors.

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Mr. Sunil Polist, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Krishan K. Chahal, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

(Through Hybrid Mode)

HARKESH MANUJA, J.(Oral)

1. By way of present petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C., prayer has been made for issuance of direction to respondents No.1 and 2 to take action and to get the investigation done in FIR No.182, dated 29.06.2020, registered under Sections 148, 149, 323, 506, 120-B IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act, at Police Station Civil Line Kaithal from any independent agency or from Higher Police Officer.

2. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and gone through the contents of the petition.

3. A perusal of file reveals that the petitioner has approached this Court on two occasions earlier for similar relief by way of petitions bearing CRM-M-42408-2020 & CRM-M-14374-2022, which were disposed of vide orders dated 16.12.2020 and 06.04.2022 (Annexures P-5 and P-6 respectively).

4. In compliance of the order dated 06.04.2022, the representation submitted by the petitioner was dealt with in detail by Superintendent of Police, Kaithal, by passing a speaking order, yet, the petitioner has filed the present petition.

5. Learned State counsel submits that upon completion of investigation, challan already stands filed way-back in October 2021 and the matter is posted for arguments and for framing of charges.

6. In view of the above, the present petition is nothing but an abuse of the process of law at the hands of petitioner, especially, when a statutory remedy under Section 173(8) Cr.P.C. is available with him.

7. Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed.

06.12.2023
sonika

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: yes/no
Whether reportable? yes/no