

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

122

**CRM-M-59796-2023
Date of decision: 06.12.2023**

VED PRAKASH SHEORAN

....Petitioner

Versus**STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER**

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Mr. Sunil Panwar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Bhupender Singh, DAG, Haryana.

KULDEEP TIWARI. J.(Oral)

1. Through the instant petition, prayer is made for stay of further proceedings before the learned trial court concerned, wherein, the petitioner has been summoned to face the trial in case FIR No.1127 dated 09.12.2016, registered under Sections 420, 465, 468, 471 of IPC registered at Police Station City Jind.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner having aggrieved with the summoning order, which according to him is an abuse of the process of law, as there is no sanction for prosecution. The petitioner preferred a statutory revision before the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Jind, which is pending since 2022. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the application for staying the further proceedings before the learned trial court concerned has not been adjudicated by the learned Revisional Court.
3. Be that as it may, without commenting on the validity or the legality of the summoning order, this Court deems it appropriate, at this stage, to issue direction to the learned Revisional Court, to decide the CRR-63-2022, CRR-64-2022 & CRR-46-2023, within 03 months from today. Till the decision is made in

revisions (supra) the proceedings before the learned trial Court concerned shall remain stayed.

4. Disposed of accordingly.

06.12.2023
amandeep

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned.	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable.	:	Yes/No