

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana, at Chandigarh**Regular Second Appeal No. 913 of 2020 (O&M)****Date of Decision: 26.07.2023**

Smt. Deep Sikha

... Appellant(s)

Versus

Food Corporation of India and Another

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kshetarpal.Present: Mr. P.K.Ganga, Advocate
for the appellant(s).**Anil Kshetarpal, J.**

1. Challenging the concurrent findings of fact arrived at by both the Courts below, the plaintiff has come up in the regular second appeal.
2. The appellant has filed a suit for grant of decree of declaration that she is entitled to get appointment on the compassionate basis on account of the death of her father late Sh.Om Parkash who died in harness on 19.07.2006.
3. Both the Courts below have found that she was not dependent on the deceased. It has come in evidence that the marriage of the appellant took place prior to the suit filed by her on 30.10.2014. Hence, even on the date of filing of the suit, the appellant was not dependent upon her father. Moreover, the compassionate appointment is not another source of recruitment. These appointments are offered on the basis of the policy decision taken by the competent authorities. The compassionate appointment

Regular Second Appeal No. 913 of 2020 (O&M)**2**

can be offered to the family of a deceased government employee to immediately help them financially, as they may have lost their main source of income. The suit was filed nearly after a period of eight years.

4. Now, a period of 14 years has elapsed since the appellant's father died.

5. Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, no ground is made out to interfere with the concurrent findings of fact arrived at by both the Courts below. Hence, the present appeal is dismissed.

6. The miscellaneous application(s) pending, if any, shall stand disposed of.

(Anil Kshetarpal)
Judge

July 26, 2023
"DK"

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No