

117

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No. 60192 of 2023 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 08.12.2023

Sukhnandan Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Ms. Jaspreet Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. Gursharan Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

HARKESH MANUJA, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner, by way of present petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C., seeks quashing of order dated 28.09.2023 (Annexure P-3) passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Gurdaspur, whereby a condition was imposed upon the petitioner for deposit of 20% of the total compensation amount in case No. CRA-252-2023, titled “*Sukhnandan Singh Versus M/s. Shri Ram Transport Finance Ltd.*”.

[2] Learned counsel for the petitioner relies upon a decision rendered by the Hon’ble Supreme Court in case “*Jamboo Bhandari Versus M.P. State Industrial Development Corporation Ltd. & Ors.*”, reported as **2023 (4) RCR (Criminal) 296**, and submits that respondent No. 2 – complainant obtained blank security cheque from the petitioner at the time of sanctioning of land and later on misused the same. He further prays that the petitioner is a poor person and could not arrange the huge amount.

[3] I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

[4] Considering the exposition of law by the Hon’ble Supreme Court in case of ***Jamboo Bhandari (supra)***, in the facts and circumstances of present case, the order dated 28.09.2023 (P-3) passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Gurdaspur, is modified to the extent that the petitioner shall deposit 10% of the compensation amount within a period of 15 days from today, while depositing the remaining 10% amount on or before the date fixed by the Court below.

[5] It is made clear that in case the petitioner fails to comply with the aforesaid directions, the order dated 28.09.2023 (P-3) granting him bail, shall automatically stand vacated.

[6] **Disposed off.**

[7] In the humble opinion of this Court, the present petition is disposed off without issuance of any notice to the respondents as it may further delay the cause of respondent No. 2 besides putting it to unnecessarily litigation.

December 08, 2023

'dk kamra'

**(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE**

<i>Whether Speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>