

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-26534-2023

Date of decision : 28.11.2023

Teja Singh and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr.Ishan Gupta, Advocate and
Ms.Palvi, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr.Kunal Muthreja, AAG, Punjab.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. This is a civil writ petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus for directing the respondents to grant complete arrears of increased salary on the basis of assured career progression scheme to the petitioners on completion of regular satisfactory service of 4 years, 9 years and 14 years respectively along with interest @ 18% per annum till its realization.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that in the present petition, there are five petitioners and he seeks to withdraw the present petition with respect to petitioners no.1 to 3 and states that petitioners no.4 and 5 have given their representations dated 14.09.2023 and 04.08.2023 (Anneuxre P-11 and P-12) detailing their grievances and at this stage, would be satisfied in case the said representations are considered by the competent authority of respondent no.1-State in a time bound manner

and if after considering the same, in case, the plea raised by petitioners no.4

and 5 is found to be meritorious, then necessary relief be granted to petitioners no.4 and 5.

3. Learned State counsel has submitted that the competent authority of respondent no.1-State would consider the said representations and decide the same within a period of 4 weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of the present order.

4. Keeping in view the above said facts and circumstances, the present petition is dismissed as withdrawn qua petitioners no.1 to 3 and is disposed of qua petitioners no.4 and 5 with a direction to the competent authority of respondent no.1-State to consider the representations dated 14.09.2023 and 04.08.2023 (Anneuxre P-11 and P-12) of petitioners no.4 and 5 and decide the same, in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of 4 weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of the present order and in case, after considering the same, the plea of petitioners no.4 and 5 is found to be meritorious, then necessary relief be granted to petitioners no.4 and 5 and in case, the plea of petitioners no.4 and 5 is not found to be meritorious, then a speaking order rejecting the same be passed within a period of 4 weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of the present order.

5. It is made clear that this Court has not opined on the merits of the case and the competent authority of respondent no.1-State would consider and decide the representations independently, in accordance with law.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

November 28, 2023.

Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No